

<b>Family Name</b>	Broadhurst
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1285743
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Broadhurst
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1285743
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	In the Elton Parklands plan in Bury this includes land not owned by the proposer and therefore the plan is not wholly deliverable.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The proposer of the site needs to have acquired the whole site in order for it to be included within the proposal.
<b>Family Name</b>	Broadhurst
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1285743
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	1. Meet our housing need
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The loss of green belt is contrary to national policy. Prior to the release of green belt land all brown field sites should be developed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Develop all brownfield sites in Burt first before turning to the easy development of green belt land.
<b>Family Name</b>	Broadhurst
<b>Given Name</b>	Andrew
<b>Person ID</b>	1285743
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA

<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>Land is included which is not owned by the site proposer therefore it is unlikely the area shown will be brought forward as shown.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Only the land owned by the proposer can be brought into the plan as areas not owned are not deliverable.</p>